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|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Criteria** | **Mark** | **Justification** |
| A | 4 | Background studies relevant but not all well explained in relation to own study (the musical interfererence). Clearly operationalized hypothesis and justified.  |
| B | 2 | Design correct and justified. IV/DV ok and ethics applied here. |
| C | 2 | Target population identified, characteristics of sample and sampling method explained.  |
| D | 2 | Procedure clear and relevant material referenced. |
| E | 2 | Results explained in words, clear graphs showing means. Appropriate measures of central tendency and dispersion (and explained). |
| F | 3 | Appropriate test applied and justified. Correct conclusion and statement of significance.  |
| G | 5 | Discussion in relation to previous research ok but there is no reference to statistics. Relevant limiatations and modifications but not very precisely stated. Conclusion ok.  |
| H | 1 | Not all sources referenced, e.g. p. 1 note 4. |
| I | 2 | Report format ok (abstract, appendices, word count).  |