

**ANSWER BOOKLET
LIVRET DE RÉPONSES
CUADERNILLO DE RESPUESTAS**



International Baccalaureate
Baccalauréat International
Bachillerato Internacional

4 PAGES / PÁGINAS

At the start of each answer to a question, write the question number in the box. / Avant de répondre à une question, veuillez écrire le numéro de la question que vous allez traiter dans la case prévue à cet effet. / Al comienzo de cada respuesta, escriba el número de pregunta en la casilla.



Example
Exemple 27 27

Example
Exemple 3 3



01

The medical model of abnormality, B rather than defining abnormality as a whole it comes up with different types of disorders and a set of symptoms to identify it. It assumes that all disorders have a cause which is not directly observable, but can be found by its symptoms. ~~The~~ The process of linking symptoms to a specific ~~mental~~ mental disorders is called diagnosis.

However, diagnosis is not always ~~easy~~ ~~it~~ it is ~~not~~ much harder to diagnose ^{mental} mental illness than physical, and the validity and the reliability of diagnosis needs to be considered. So people ~~are~~ have less chance of being diagnosed.

The reliability of diagnosis ~~is~~ is ^{different} if ~~the~~ ^{clinicians} ~~are~~ ^{come to a same} ~~would~~ ~~be~~ ~~identify~~ ~~a~~ ~~same~~ conclusion when interviewing a patient using the same classification system. The reliability of diagnosis can be ^{established} ~~proved~~ in 2 ways. First, the 1st clinician can record his/her interview with a patient for the ~~3~~ 2nd clinician to view, and compare the conclusions of the ~~2~~ 2 separate diagnosis. The strength in this method is that the ~~two~~ clinician receive the ~~exact~~ ^{different} ~~exact~~ same stimulus from the patient's response and behaviours, therefore ~~they~~ ^{there} ~~can~~ ^{should} ~~be~~ ^{be} any uncertainties when their diagnosis compare similar or differently. However, this method is highly artificial, because ~~although~~ ~~the~~ in real life when 2 clinicians interview a patient their questions would slightly differ and not exactly the same.

The 2nd way to establish reliability in diagnosis is for the clinicians to interview the patient individually. The strength in this method is that ~~the~~ ~~clinicians~~ ~~is~~ it is not artificial. However the drawbacks include the factor of time: the patient's response

- 2 -

to the same question might differ because of the time passed between the 2 interviews, therefore ~~it is not~~ ^{when} ~~impossible~~ if the diagnosis differ it is unclear if ~~this~~ it is because the diagnosis lacks reliability or other unexplained & natural factors because of the time passed.

The validity of diagnosis, on the other hand, is if the ~~diagnosis~~ ^{System} ~~is~~ ^{classification} ~~it~~ ^{is} self is valid or not. For example, ~~the~~ before 1974 homosexuality was considered to be ~~a~~ ^{an} mental illness according to the DSM. In this case, the DSM classification system before 1974 would have lead to invalid diagnosis of ~~some~~ mentally healthy homosexuals.

The research Rosenhan (1973) aims to investigate if psychiatrists can distinguish between mentally sane and insane people. It conducted a field experiment, in which 8 mentally healthy pseudo-patients was recruited (including Rosenhan his self), and were instructed to respond to normal interactions, telling the hospital staff that they ~~are~~ are hearing voices such as "empty," and "Holla". Except for this, they were instructed to act normal and telling their life stories. If they got in, they were instructed to create any abnormal behaviours and get out as soon as possible ~~in the~~ ~~at~~ ~~the~~ ~~hospital~~ by showing the hospital staff that they were in fact normal. The results were that ~~all~~ all the pseudo-patients successfully got into the mental hospital except for one. They stayed in the mental hospital ~~in~~ in a range of 7-~~14~~ ¹⁰ days, about 50 days. ~~Some of their stories~~ They were all diagnosed as patients of schizophrenia. Some of their behaviours, such as hitting down notes, were observed by some staff members and noted as a symptom to their disorder.

In a follow up, Rosenhan claimed that will be ~~two~~ more pseudo-patients entering a mental hospital in the next 3 months, however there was ~~one~~ ^{some} during this period. Out of the 193 patients received by the hospital, ~~only~~ 41 were identified as "pseudo-patients".

First, this research shows the reliability of diagnosis, as ~~some~~ of these are

- 3 -

in (w)itancis in diagnosis of the 8 people. Although the clinicians and the hospital used the same classification system, and they displayed ~~the~~ very similar symptoms, there is still one person who ~~did~~ have not accepted in the hospital. This ~~difference~~ ~~is~~ ~~contrast~~. $\frac{1}{8}$ difference may be well ~~fracture~~ ~~problems~~ plus someone merely healthy in the hospital ~~and~~ or someone mentally ill ~~and~~.

The patients ^{also} were instructed to behave as normal as possible in order to get out of the hospital, however the days they were let out had a ^{big} range of 7 - over so. This also shows how the diagnosis may not be very reliable, as the patients were instructed to act normal. However acting normal ~~is~~ is a broad ^{all} of concepts, therefore some patients may act less normal and some more normal, leading to the difference of days they stayed in the hospital, and in this case the diagnosis should be more reliable.

~~The patients have other~~ diagnosis:

Second, the validity of ~~the~~ classification system can be displayed in this research, as ~~different~~ the failure of an accurate diagnosis can be because of the classification system being too vague. For example as many as ~~the~~ $\frac{7}{8}$ people were wrongly diagnosed, and in the follow up study $\frac{41}{153}$ ^{patients} people were also falsely identified as pseudo-patients. Hence the hospital was against Rosenhan's research, & ~~they~~ there might have been personal feelings mixed when they falsely identified 41 patients as pseudo-patients, and in a real-life scenario the validity of diagnosis would be much lower.

In conclusion, ~~because~~ ~~the~~ the reliability and validity of ~~the~~ diagnosis is important in ~~correctly~~ ~~identifying~~ ~~patients~~ diagnosing patients. As seen from the study Rosenhan (1973), the ~~the~~ diagnosis at the time weren't very

$$1, 3, 4, 3, 1 = 12/22$$